JOURNAL OF AERONAUTICAL MATERIALS
ISSN: 1005-5053

Vol. 44, Issue-01, 2024
pp. 31-37

STUDY OF QUANTUM COMPUTING WITH SPINTRONICS AND EMERGING SPIN TECHNOLOGIES.

Sanjeev Kumar Nirala[1], Mithilesh Kumar Kamati[2], Dr. Deepak Kumar[3]
[1]Research Scholar ,Department of Physics, L.N.Mithila University, Darbhanga (Bihar)
[2]Research Scholar ,Department of Physics, L.N.Mithila University, Darbhanga (Bihar)
[3]Assistant Professor ,Department of Physics, L.N.Mithila University, Darbhanga (Bihar)

Abstract:- The field effect spin transistor, first presented by Purdue University's Supriyo Datta and Biswajit Das in 1989, was the first plan for a spintronic device based on the metal-oxide-semiconductor technology that microelectronics designers were familiar with. A source electrode introduces an electric charge, which is subsequently gathered at a drain electrode in a traditional field effect transistor. Much like stomping on a garden hose, the gate, the third electrode, creates an electric field that modifies the channel via which the source-drain current can pass. This leads to the ability of a very modest electric field to regulate huge currents. A two-dimensional electron transport channel between two ferromagnetic electrodes in the Datta-Das device is created by a structure composed of indium-aluminum-arsenide and indium-gallium-arsenide.

Keywords:- Magnetization, spin-polarized, magneto-resistive, spintronics**.**

Introduction:- An emitter is represented by one electrode, and a collector is functionally comparable to the source and drain of a field effect transistor. Electrons with the same spin are only accepted by the collector (which also has the same electrode magnetization) and are emitted by the emitter with their spins oriented along the direction of the electrode's magnetization. Every electron that is released enters the collector if the spins do not change throughout the transit process. Like the precession of a spinning top under the force of gravity, the gate electrode in this device creates a field that compels the electrons to process. The degree of electron spin precession introduced by the gate field controls the electron current: If the spin of an electron is parallel, it goes through not in opposition to the magnetization if it is antiparallel. Given their comparatively strong spin-orbit interactions—that is, the magnetic field created by the gate current has a very big effect on one electron spin—narrow band-gap semiconductors like InGaAs should exhibit the greatest visibility of the Datta-Das phenomenon. But even after years of work, the effect hasn't been conclusively shown in an experiment. The all-metal spin transistor, created at the Naval Research Laboratory by Mark Johnson, is another intriguing idea. A non-magnetic metallic layer sandwiched between two Ferro magnets makes up its tri-layer structure. The huge magneto-resistive devices and the all-metal transistor share the under the influence of a magnetic field applied. This approach uses a battery to power the control circuit (emitter-base) and changes the collector's magnetization to effectively alter the current in the working circuit (base-collector). Under the "reverse" base-collector bias (antiparallel magnetizations), the current is drained from the base to permit the working current to flow. The gadget senses changes in an external magnetic field by acting as a switch or spin valve, without amplifying current or voltage. The Johnson transistor's ability to be manufactured incredibly small utilizing nano-lithographic techniques—possibly as small as tens of nano-meters—due to its all-metal construction is potentially important under the influence of a magnetic field applied. The control circuit (emitter-base) in this design is powered by a battery, however, the integration of this spin transistor device into the current semiconductor microelectronic circuitry is challenging. The fact that metal-based spintronic devices cannot magnify signals is a significant drawback, as was previously mentioned. There's no clear metallic equivalent for the conventional semiconductor transistor, where removing one electron from the base lowers the electrostatic barrier created by trapped electrons in the base, allowing tens of electrons to flow from the emitter into the collector. A prototype device known as the spin-polarised p-n junction has recently been researched, under the influence of a magnetic field applied. The control circuit (emitter-base) in this design is powered by a battery, however, the integration of this spin transistor device into the current semiconductor microelectronic circuitry is challenging. The fact that metal-based spintronic devices cannot magnify signals is a significant drawback, as was previously mentioned. There's no clear metallic equivalent for the conventional semiconductor transistor, where removing one electron from the base lowers the electrostatic barrier created by trapped electrons in the base, allowing tens of electrons to flow from the emitter into the collector. A prototype device known as the spin-polarised p-n junction has recently been researched, driven by the prospect of having both spin polarisation and amplification. (In the positive, or p, region, the electrons are the carriers of the minority, holes the majority; in that area, or negative, the roles are inverted.)In our scheme, we use circularly polarised light to optically orient the minority electrons by shining it on the surface of the p-type area of a gallium-arsenide p-n junction. Through the minority channel, we can efficiently transmit spin from the p-side to the n-side through a process we refer to as spin pumping, as determined by executing a realistic device-modeling computation. As the spin passes through the depletion layer, it is effectively enhanced as it moves from the p-to-n-region. The spin-polarized solar cell is one potential use for our suggested spin-polarized p-n junction. Similar to regular solar cells, a semiconductor (such as gallium arsenide) experiences photoluminescence in its depletion layer, which produces electron-hole pairs. The massive integrated electric Electrons are promptly swept into the then region and holes into the p region by the layer's field, which is normally 104 volts per cm.

Theoretical Advancements:

A current flows when a wire is used to join the junction's edges. The electrons that are produced are spin-polarised if the light is circularly polarised (from solar photons that have been filtered, for example). (The holes in III-V semiconductors, such as gallium arsenide, indium arsenide, and others, which are best suited for opto-spin electronics, lose their spin extremely rapidly, negating the need to worry about polarization.)Spin-polarized current results from the spin being pushed into the n region by the spin-polarized electrons formed in the depletion layer. junction. The quantity of accessible electrons in the vicinity of the electrodes at the junction controls the current. There is no electric current flow or very little if the depletion layer is wider than the electrodes. More electrons come into touch with the electrodes as the width shrinks, leading to a rapid increase in current. Field effect transistors have historically operated by applying an electric field, or voltage, along the junction because the voltage affects the depletion layer's width. We suggest using a magnetic field in its place. Should Applying an external magnetic field creates a physical effect comparable to applying an external voltage and could easily control the width of the junction if the p area or both are doped with magnetic impurities. junction. T (A spin-polarized current also occurs from this; additionally, it affects spin-up and spin-down electrons differentially). This kind of gadget could be applied to magnetic sensor technologies, including magnetic memory cells or magnetic read heads.We need to learn how spins flow through materials and how to produce huge amounts of aligned spins if spintronic devices are ever to be viable. To show that current across the interface is spin-polarized, Paul Tedrow and Robert Meservy of MIT conducted ground-breaking research on spin transport on ferromagnet/superconductors and witches thirty years ago. The variety of materials we may examine today is far wider; these include carbon nanotubes, high-temperature superconductors, and new ferromagnetic semiconductors. However, there are a few queries—like what the interface does Novel spintronic applications rely heavily on the unresolved issues of material separation and spin polarisation creation and measurement. Scattering from interfaces becomes more significant as devices get smaller. The existence of magnetically active interface scans in these hybrid structures causes spin-dependent transmission, or spin filtering, and has a significant impact on how well spintronic devices function by changing the degree of spin polarisation. Direct spin injection into a nonmagnetic semiconductor from a ferromagnet, where the spins are initially misaligned, is one method of testing these theories. For hybrid semiconductor devices, such as the Datta-Das spin transistor covered in the preceding section, an understanding of this type of spin injection is also necessary. However, a thorough understanding of transport across the ferromagnetic-semiconductor interface is necessary due to the complexity of this condition. is not accessible at this time. Researchers have been examining a more straightforward scenario involving standard metal-semiconductor interactions in its absence. Spintronic devices may face difficulties as experiments on spin injection into semiconductors reveal a significantly smaller amount of spin polarisation than in ferromagnetic spin injectors. A fundamental barrier to obtaining higher semiconductor spin polarisation with injection is the significant mismatch in conductivities in this instance, where spins diffuse across the interface. To get around this restriction, an intriguing idea has been put forth. Researchers discovered that the conductivity mismatch may be removed by introducing tunnel connections, a unique form of carrier express lane. Additionally, using a magnetic semiconductor as the injector can lessen the noticeable material disparities between semiconductors and ferromagnets. Although it was displayed This method was limited to low temperatures, but it showed promise for producing a high degree of spin polarisation in a nonmagnetic semiconductor. Further studies must focus on synthesizing ferromagnetic semiconductors whose ferromagnetism will persist at greater temperatures to achieve viable spintronic applications. The development of techniques to investigate fundamental elements of spin-polarized transport in semiconductors is necessary, as evidenced by the problems associated with spin injection and the attempts to create hybrid structures. Our current proposal involves examining hybrid semiconductor-superconductor structures to get insight into spin transmission capabilities. The superconducting region's existence can be utilized as a means to explore interfacial transparency and spin-polarization. Apart from charge transfer, pure spin transport can also be taken into consideration for deducing the degree of spin polarization. Using our interface model, we have managed to compute this in a hybrid semiconductor structure. We select a geometry where a potential and spin-flip scattering interface separates sections of semi-infinite semiconductors and superconductors. The proper scattering processes and their related magnitudes must be determined to use this method. Even though spin conductance is highly sensitive to spin polarisation, it is still difficult to detect spin current directly in experiments instead of the more common charge current.

Active Control and Manipulation of Spins: The spin-based quantum computer in solid-state structures is one of the most ambitious spintronic devices. It is blatantly evident to use of electron (or nuclear) spin for these objectives. Because fermions can adopt either "up" or "down" states due to their two spin states, they are naturally occurring binary particles known as quantum bits, or qubits. However, a qubit can represent more than just 0 or 1, unlike a traditional binary computing bit. It can represent any combination of the two numbers, or an endless number of possibilities between 0 and 1, due to the quantum property of superposition. The spins are subjected to an initial state that is permitted to change over time to complete a computation. using an entanglement mechanism. (Quantum entanglement states that although particles polarised together may become geographically separated, their spins will still stay correlated.)Because of these characteristics, a quantum computer can effectively work in parallel, doing multiple tasks at once. For quantum computation to function, the quantum states must be carefully controlled and able to last extended periods without being affected by external interactions. Given that a quantum computer needs an extremely long coherence time, spins—both nuclear and electron spins—have been proposed as qubits. This is because spins are immune to long-range electrostatic Coulomb interactions between charges, which naturally give them long coherence times. We will examine a small number of the sample plans that have been put up over the previous few years and talk about some new work on electron spin-based quantum computation that I did with my colleagues recently. A single electron trapped in a solitary structure known as a quantum dot serves as the qubit in one such method. While single spins are controlled by local magnetic fields, nearby qubits are coupled, and two-qubit entanglement is introduced through inter-dot contact. A quantum dot with a single trapped electron suggests a very low carrier density and, thus, a very low coupling to the external environment. As a result, the electron spins should continue to be coherent for a significantly longer period than even their lengthy coherence durations in the bulk. It is a challenging effort to capture a single electron in a gated quantum dot by experimentation. Furthermore, to impart a local magnetic field to a single quantum dot without influencing its neighbors In actuality, trapped spins and dots might also not be conceivable. We recently demonstrated that solving each of these issues is theoretically feasible, albeit extremely challenging. About the challenge of capturing individual electrons within a quantum dot array, Xuedong Hu and I performed a multi-electron computation that demonstrated that, under specific circumstances, an odd number of electrons contained within a quantum dot might function as a qubit. It is possible to use the quantum error correcting method to tackle the local magnetic field problem. The inhomogeneous magnetic field that the other qubits sense is the root cause of the lack of a purely local magnetic field acting on a single qubit. Magnetic impurities can produce such a field, or unwanted currents diverted from the building. We have carried out an extensive investigation and discovered that the mistake of inhomogeneity is proportional to the field. It was demonstrated that the error generated by the field can be addressed (although very difficult) using reasonable estimations for such an inhomogeneous magnetic field on quantum dots at the nanometer scale. Bruce Kane, who is currently at the University of Maryland, created one of the most influential ideas, which is a quantum computer based on nuclear spin. Here qubits are silicon donor nuclei, and single and two-qubit operations (using electron-nuclear and electron-electron spin interactions) are achieved by donor electrons in conjunction with external gates. Under the control of the silicon computer system, the donor electrons function as effectively shuttles between various nuclear qubits. One more possible benefit of a quantum computing system is The idea of utilizing the enormous resources provided by the semiconductor chip industry is based on silicon. Apart from the aforementioned operational issues, the extremely challenging task of accurately measuring individual electron spins remains (since they are crucial to quantum computing). To ensure that the spin state does not decay before readout, one must be able to measure single spin states in addition to doing so at a rate of nanoseconds to microseconds. The current methods for measuring spin can only detect between 500 and 1,000 electron spins at most; further experimental research is required to address this issue.

FUTURE PROSPECTS: Although significant progress has been made, there is still much to learn about the behavior of electron spins in materials for technological applications. In addition to the huge magneto-resistive sandwich structure, which is a part of every computer that leaves the factory, several innovative spin-based microelectronic devices have been designed and have demonstrated commercial success. Furthermore, non-volatile memory elements based on spintronics might very well become accessible soon. But before advancing into the widespread use of spin-based multifunctional and innovative technologies, we must address the fundamental issues with 522 American Scientist, Volume 89readoutreadoutradio frequency radio frequency Fig. 7. One day, spintronic devices might make quantum computing feasible. Bruce Kane's solution uses a silicon substrate doped with phosphorus atoms to function as the quantum computing components. In a hypothetical quantum computer, the diagram represents a portion of a bigger array of phosphorus atoms. Each nuclear spin of the phosphorus nuclei implanted in the substrate is unique, and they all contribute electrons that have their spins as well. Nuclear spin states can be read and written by the outside electrodes, also referred to as "A gates," and nuclear spin states can be permitted to interact through the electrons, which are managed by the center, also referred to as "J gates." A pulse of a radio frequency or magnetic field would be used to set the nuclear spins in a typical sequence of stages (top panel). To move between the phosphorus atoms (black circles with arrows), the electrons (red spheres) would next be triggered using the J gates, resulting in the creation of a quantum mechanical "entangled." status (center panel). Ultimately, the gates are employed once more to decode the ultimate quantum state of the phosphorus atom array using the electron spin state (bottom panel). problems related to the creation and measurement of spin, improving our knowledge of spin transport at interfaces, especially ferromagnetic/semiconductor interfaces, and elucidating the various kinds of faults arising in spin-based computational systems. To tackle them, we will need to expand our theoretical knowledge of quantum spin and create new experimental methods. We will also need to discover how to actively control and manipulate spins in incredibly small structures. A completely new realm of spin technology with unprecedented possibilities and capacities will result from our success. especially the intriguing prospect of creating a quantum computer based on spintronics will occupy scholars' time for some time.

Conclusion:- The quest for spin-based quantum computing in solid-state architectures is an amazing foray into the realm of spintronics. Exploiting the intrinsic binary properties of fermions—that is, nuclear and electron spins—as quantum bits, or qubits, creates opportunities that go beyond the limitations of traditional binary computing. Because qubits can encapsulate a spectrum of states due to the quantum property of superposition, numerous tasks can be executed simultaneously and parallel processing is made possible. Meticulous management and long-term maintenance of quantum states are essential for successful quantum computation and need an exceptionally long coherence duration. Since electron and nuclear spins are immune to long-range electrostatic Coulomb interactions, they seem like good options for long-lived qubits. Many strategies that emphasize taking advantage of the special qualities of quantum dots have been put forth. numerous obstacles stand in the way of the search for single-electron qubits inside quantum dots. The challenge in experimentation is to preserve and capture one electron in a gated quantum dot without causing damage to other dots. Still, new theoretical developments demonstrate that these problems are feasible to overcome, though not without major obstacles. Quasi-quantum error correction techniques are viable remedies through multi-electron computations, particularly when dealing with an odd number of electrons in a quantum dot. advantages of quantum computing systems that may arise. However, precise measurement of single electron spins is still a complex problem despite the advances. It is necessary to do additional experimental research to improve the accuracy and efficiency of measuring spin states because the existing approaches are only capable of detecting a few hundred electron spins at most.

References:-

1. Bennett, C. H., and D. P. DiVincenzo. 2000. Quantum information and computation. Nature (404):267.
2. Das Sarma, S., et al. 2000. Theoretical perspectives on spintronics and spin-polarized transport. IEEE Transactions on Magnetics36:2821.
3. Das Sarma, S., et al. 2001. Spin electronics and spin computation. Solid State Communications119:207.
4. Datta, S., and B. Das. 1990. Electronic analogy of the electrooptic modulator. Applied Physics Letters 56:665.
5. Fabian, J., and S. Das Sarma. 1999. Spin relaxation of conduction electrons. Journal of Vacuum Science and Technology B17:1780.
6. Fabian, J., and S. Das Sarma. 1999. Phonon-induced spin relaxation of conduction electrons. Physical Review Letters 83:1211.
7. Gregg, J., et al. 1997. The art of spintronics. Journal of Magnetics and Magnetic Materials 175:1.
8. Hu, X., and S. Das Sarma. 2000. Hilbert space structure of a solid-state quantum computer. Physical Review A 61:062301.
9. Hu, X., R. De Sousa and S. Das Sarma. 2001. The interplay between Zeeman coupling and swap action in spin-based quantum computer models: Error correction in inhomogeneous magnetic fields. Physical Review Letters 86:918.

10. Hu, X., and S. Das Sarma. 2001. Spin-based quantum computation in multielectron quantum dots. Physical Review A 64:042312.Johnson, M. 1994. The all-metal spin transistor. IEEE Spectrum 31:47.
11. Kane, B. E. 1998. Silicon-based quantum computation. Nature 393:133.
12. Loss, D., and D. DiVincenzo. 1998. Quantum computation with quantum dots. Physical Review A 57:120.
13. Ohno, H. 1998. Making nonmagnetic semiconductors ferromagnetic. Science 281:951.
14. Prinz, G. A. 1999. Device physics—Magneto-electronics. Science 282:1660
15. Wolf, S. A., and D. Treger. 2000. Spintronics: A new paradigm for electronics for the new millennium. IEEE Transactions on Magnetics36:2748.
16. Zˇutic´, I., J. Fabian and S. Das Sarma. 2001. Proposal for a spin-polarized solar battery. Applied Physics Letters 17:156.
17. Zˇutic´, I., J. Fabian and S. Das Sarma. 2001. Spin injection through the depletion layer: A theory of spin-polarized p-n junctions and solar cells. Physical Review B 64:121201.
18. Zˇutic´, I., and S. Das Sarma. 1999. Spin-polarized transport and Andreev reflection in semiconductor/superconductor hybrid structures. Physical Review B 60:R16322.T
19. L.P. Kouwenhoven et al., Proceedings of the ASI on Mesoscopic Electron Transport, eds. L. L. Sohn, L. P. Kouwenhoven, and G. Schön (Kluwer, 1997).
20. Guido Burkard, Hans-Andreas Engel, Daniel Loss First published: 25 October 2000
Spintronics and Quantum Dots for Quantum Computing and Quantum Communication